

## Crosspark Informal Consultation with Residents

File Ref	Resident	Approve Parking Restrictions	Comments
1	Yes	No	<p>I object strongly to the proposal of adding even further parking restrictions to an area that already suffers from an obvious abuse of Double Yellow Lines. This area is residential and as you can see from the plan that you supplied very few of the residents have the luxury of their own parking spaces. If you combine the lack of parking that exists at present , the additional strain provided by the school run and the fact that employees of the hospital use every parking space they can find, more Yellow Lines can only add to the farce. It is already a struggle for residents to find a parking space due to the prevalence of commercial vehicles that have sprung up over the last few years.I do not understand why the bus can not be routed past the Co-op as it is when it travels in the opposite direction or alternatively use a smaller bus (Bayline). As a resident I feel that a public road should be for the use of the public , not a personal right of way for a private company.This is impingeing on our rights as residents.</p>
2	Yes	Yes	<p>With regard to your recent letter re the proposed parking restrictions on Crosspark Avenue, I am in favour of the said proposal, providing it can be policed and is it possible to ensure that no one parks on the grass verges or along the Walks, which give us access from our front doors to the pavements on Crosspark avenue. For those of us who do not drive the bus service is vital, and there are a lot of elderly people whose only means of transport is the bus, so we would hat to lose it, as it only comes from the hospital to crosspark and then into town, not from the town to crosspark and then the hospital. So if it was lost through careless parking, we would have to walk to Exe Hill, and not everyone is able to do this.</p>